Novel and diverse functions of the DNA mismatch repair family in mammalian meiosis and recombination

N.K. Kolas^a and P.E. Cohen^{a,b}

Departments of ^a Molecular Genetics and ^b Obstetrics, Gynecology and Women's Health, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY (USA)

Abstract. The mismatch repair (MMR) family is a highly conserved group of proteins that function in genome stabilization and mutation avoidance. Their role has been particularly well studied in the context of DNA repair following replication errors, and disruption of these processes results in characteristic microsatellite instability, repair defects and, in mammals, susceptibility to cancer. An additional role in meiotic recombination has been described for several family members, as revealed by extensive studies in yeast. More recently, the role of the mammalian MMR family in meiotic progression has been elucidated by the phenotypic analysis of mice harboring tar-

Meiotic recombination - reciprocal and non-reciprocal

In sexually reproducing organisms meiosis is the cellular event during which one replicative S-phase is followed by two successive divisions to produce gametes with haploid numbers of chromosomes. The success of the meiotic program is dependent on prophase I events, characterized in most cases by homologous chromosome pairing and synapsis to form a proteinaceous synaptonemal complex (SC), and recombination between homologous, non-sister, chromatids (Champion and Hawley, 2002).

Each recombination event is initiated by the formation of a double strand break (DSB) in one sister chromatid (Keeney et

Request reprints from Paula E. Cohen

KARGER Fax + 41 61 306 12 34 E-mail karger@karger.

 Fax + 41 61 306 12 34
 © 2004 S. Karger AG, Basel

 E-mail karger@karger.ch
 0301-0171/04/1074-0216\$21.00/0

 www.karger.com
 0301-0171/04/1074-0216\$21.00/0

geted mutations in the genes encoding several MMR family members. This review will discuss the phenotypes of the various mutant mouse lines and, drawing from our knowledge of MMR function in yeast meiosis and in somatic cell repair, will attempt to elucidate the significance of MMR activity in mouse germ cells. These studies highlight the importance of comparative analysis of MMR orthologs across species, and also underscore distinct sexually dimorphic characteristics of mammalian recombination and meiosis.

Copyright © 2004 S. Karger AG, Basel

al., 1997). Meiotic DNA double-strand breaks occur early in prophase I and are unlike those occurring due to accidental damage or replication machinery slippage in mitotic cells. Instead, meiotic breaks are induced and propagated by expression of key genes, and can only be repaired/resolved once they effect homolog recognition, synapsis and, under appropriate circumstances, homologous recombination (Szostak et al., 1983; Baudat et al., 2000; Champion and Hawley, 2002). Two types of homologous recombination occur at meiosis; one is reciprocal recombination, characterized by an exchange of markers flanking a section of hybrid DNA, resulting in a cross-over between the two non-sister strands involved; the second is non-reciprocal, whereby only hybrid DNA results (Fogel and Hurst, 1967; Hurst et al., 1972; Szostak et al., 1983).

In humans the level of divergence between coding regions of homologous chromosomes is approximately 0.05% (<1 bp in every 1000 bp), while congenic mouse strains are approximately 0.02% diverged (Cargill et al., 1999). Hybrid (or heteroduplex) DNA in meiotic recombination intermediates can span more than 1 kb, and any divergent sequences or mismatches present in these spans are substrates for mismatch repair (MMR). At the same time, MMR processes also serve to limit

Accessible online at: www.karger.com/cgr

Supported in part by the NICHD (5R01HD041012) and by startup funding from the Albert Einstein College of Medicine.

Received 10 March 2004; manuscript accepted 2 June 2004.

Department of Molecular Genetics and Obstetrics

Gynecology and Women's Health, Albert Einstein College of Medicine 1300 Morris Park Avenue, Bronx, NY 10461 (USA) telephone: +1 (718) 430 2924; fax: +1 (718) 430 3248 e-mail: pcohen@aecom.vu.edu

recombination between sequences that are too highly (>1%)divergent, so-called homeologous recombination. At recombination intermediates, MMR can result in gene conversion-type or restoration-type mismatch repair of hybrid DNA, presumably with equal probability, such that 50% of reciprocal events are accompanied by a gene conversion (Bishop et al., 1987; Willis and Klein, 1987). However, it may be that a strand-selection mechanism exists that favors the use of the continuous (non-broken) strand as template (Foss et al., 1999). When repair of these mismatches is defective the products of meiosis have an increase in post-meiotic segregation (PMS), a term defined by fungal mutants to describe the observation of sectored spore colonies during tetrad analysis. In this review "crossover" and "reciprocal recombination" will be used to discuss those outcomes that have reciprocal exchange with hybrid DNA, and "gene conversion" will be used to refer to non-reciprocal recombination (hybrid DNA without a reciprocal exchange event).

The canonical mismatch repair system in E. coli

The mismatch repair (MMR) machinery recognizes and repairs disruptions in the Watson-Crick basepairing of a DNA double helix. The Escherichia coli MMR system is the paradigm, and mutants (MutS, MutL, MutH and MutU) were named for a so-called "mutator" phenotype. MutS protein acts as a homodimeric ATPase that binds the DNA phosphate-sugar backbone directly. This mismatch, or "kinked" backbone, detection stimulates ADP to ATP exchange and induces a molecular switch, resulting in conformational changes in both the protein and the DNA (Obmolova et al., 2000). Recent evidence from Acharya et al. (2003) has demonstrated that this change also involves the formation of a stable sliding clamp that is capable of motion along as much as 1 kb of DNA adjacent to the mismatch, a process which then allows for the subsequent loading of multiple MutS sliding clamps (Acharya et al., 2003). Exchange of ADP for ATP on MutS also signals and recruits a MutL homodimer, and the MutS/MutL complex undergoes hydrolysis-dependent translocation similar to that seen with the MutS sliding clamp alone (Acharya et al., 2003), possibly toward the MutH endonuclease which is stimulated by MutL to cut the unmethylated newly synthesized strand. MutL appears to facilitate the unloading of MutS sliding clamps, and might additionally induce loading of MutU (Helicase II/UvrD) at the site of the nick to induce unwinding of the nascent strand (Yamaguchi et al., 1998). Four single-strand DNA exonucleases, RecJ, ExoVII, ExoI or ExoX, are able to remove the mispair in either a 5' to 3' or 3' to 5' direction, depending on the side of the MutH-induced nick. Their functions appear to be redundant, or they may compensate for one another, as MMR is only disrupted when all four are missing (Feschenko et al., 2003). Excised DNA is subsequently resynthesized by DNA polymerase III and religated via an as yet unidentified ligase (Modrich and Lahue, 1996; Buermeyer et al., 1999).

Typically, MMR targets mismatches that occur as a result of replication errors in mitotic cells, but MMR also prevents homeologous recombination (recombination between sequences that are diverged by more than 10-20%) by way of base-pair mismatch rejection. Interestingly, small insertion/deletion

loops (IDLs) between mispaired bases are not the only targets recognized by MMR proteins. Others include cisplatin-induced cross-links, and damage from oxidation and alkylating agents. Moreover, eukaryotic homologs of MutS and MutL interact with other repair pathways such as transcription coupled baseexcision repair and nucleotide excision repair (Tsutakawa and Cooper, 2000). Thus, while MutS and MutL have essential roles in the subsequent removal and repair synthesis of DNA, they function primarily in recognition of strand anomalies, DNA binding, conformational changes, and signaling to downstream effectors. As such, the generalized term "mismatch repair" can be considered somewhat of a misnomer.

MMR family of proteins are highly conserved

Homologs of the bacterial MutS and MutL proteins have been conserved through evolution, being identified in fungi, mice, humans, plants, worms and flies (Table 1). The importance of this gene family in maintaining genomic stability has been underscored by the observation that mutations in several family members are associated with human non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC) (Lynch, 1999; Pedroni et al., 2001; Wei et al., 2002). In addition, spontaneous mutations in these genes are found in 2–13% of spontaneously arising colorectal cancer cases. In most cases, such tumors are characterized by microsatellite instability, which results from an inability to repair replication errors at sites of long simple mono-, di-, and trinucleotide repeats.

Both MutS and MutL homolog proteins act primarily as heterodimers and have DNA binding domains, though MutL heterodimers are thought to bind MutS heterodimers which are bound to DNA. Mice and humans have five MutS homologs, MSH2-6, and four MutL homologs, MLH1, MLH3, PMS2 (for post-meiotic segregation, orthologous to yeast PMS1) and PMS1 (orthologous to yeast MLH2). The MutS homolog complexes that are most recognized to act in mammalian somatic cell repair are MSH2-MSH6 (MutSa) and MSH2-MSH3 (MutS β), while a third MutS heterodimer (MutS γ) of MSH4-MSH5 has a predominant function in meiotic cells (Paquis-Flucklinger et al., 1997; Her and Doggett, 1998; Bocker et al., 1999; Edelmann et al., 1999; Her et al., 1999, 2001; Kneitz et al., 2000; Cohen and Pollard, 2001). Interestingly, recent evidence indicates that MSH2 can form a damage signaling module with ATR and, via MSH6, they regulate phosphorylation of CHK1 and SMC1 in the face of MNNG chemical damage (Wang and Qin, 2003). Furthermore, MMR family members affect somatic cell hypermutation and class switch recombination of immunoglobulin genes (Martin et al., 2003; Bardwell et al., 2004). MLH1 is the primarily utilized MutL homolog, heterodimerizing with PMS2 (MutL α), PMS1 (MutL β) or MLH3 (MutL γ), this last heterodimer functioning primarily in meiotic events (Fig. 1). MutLa appears to be the primary MutL heterodimer effecting MMR, both in mice and in yeast. Thus, the majority of HNPCC cases are a result of mutations in MSH2 and MLH1, while non-classical (those that do not conform to the Amsterdam criteria for establishing hereditary colorectal cancer risk) HNPCC cases have been associated with mutations in MSH6 (Lucci-Cordisco et al., 2001; Pedroni et al., 2001).

Table 1. MUTS, MUTL and EXO1 homologs in eukaryotic species

| Escherichic coli (4.6 MB) ^a | a Homo sapiens (3000 MB) ^a | Mus musculus (2500 MB) ^a | Drosophila melanogaster (180 MB) ^a | Arabidopsis thaliana (125 MB) ^a | Gallus gallus (120 MB) ^a | Caenorhabditis elegans (100 MB) ^a | Coprinus cinereus (37.5 MB) ^a | Saccharomyces cerevisiae (15 MB) ^a | Schizosaccharomyces pombe (13.8 MB) ^a |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| MutS | NID ^b Msh2 Ref 3 Msh3 Ref 10 Msh4 Ref 15 Msh5 Ref 19 Msh6 NID | NID Msh2 Ref 4 Msh3 Ref 11 Msh4 Ref 16 Msh5 Ref 20 Msh6 Ref 23 NID | NID Spel1 Ref 5 NID NID Msh6 Ref 24 NID | Msh1 Ref 1 ^d Msh2 Ref 6 Msh3 Ref 12 NID NID Msh6 Ref 25 Msh7 Ref 29 | NID Msh2 (AB104853) NID NID Msh6 (AI981357) NID | NID Msh2 Ref 7 NID Him-14 Ref 17 Msh5 Ref 21 Msh6 Ref 26 NID | NID NID NID NID Msh5 (AY334360) NID NID | Msh1 Ref 2 Msh2 Ref 8 Msh3 Ref 13 Msh4 Ref 18 Msh5 Ref 22 Msh6 Ref 27 NID | Msh1 (NP594677) ^c Msh2 Ref 9 Swi4 Ref 14 NID NID Msh6 Ref 28 NID |
| MutL MMR exo- nucleases | Pms2 Ref 30 Mlh1 Ref 34 Pms1 Ref 39 Mlh3 Ref 41 Exo1 Ref 45 | Pms2 Ref 31 Mlh1 Ref 35 Pms1 Ref 40 Mlh3 Ref 42 Exo1 Ref 46 | NID NID NID Tos Ref 47 | Pms2 (NP_567236) Mlh1 Ref 35 NID Mlh3 Ref 43 NID | Pms2 (CK61433) Mlh1 Ref 36 Pms1 (CB018436) NID NID | Pms2 (CAA18355) Mlh1 (CAB07283) NID Ced H12 Ref 43 NID | NID NID NID NID | Pms1 Ref 32 Mlh1 Ref 37 Mlh2 Mlh3 Ref 44 Exo1 Ref 48 | Pms1 Ref 33 Mlh1 Ref 38 NID NID Exo1 Ref 49 |

^a Haploid genome size (MB = megabasepairs).

b NID: Not identified.

^c Numbers in parentheses represent NCBI accession numbers.

^d References: 1 Abdelnoor et al. 2003; 2 Hunter and Borts 1997; 3 Martin et al. 2000; 4 de Wind et al. 1995; Reitmair et al. 1995; 5 Flores and Engels 1999; 6 Ade et al. 1999, 2001; Leonard et al. 2003; 7 Degtyareva et al. 2002; Tijsterman et al. 2002; 8 Chambers et al. 1996; Pochart et al. 1997; 9 Rudolph et al. 1999; 10 Watanabe et al. 1996; 11 Wei et al. 2002; 12 Ade et al. 1999; 13 New et al. 1993; 14 Tornier et al. 2001; 15 Paquis-Flucklinger et al. 1997; 16 Kneitz et al. 2000; 17 Zalevsky et al. 1999; Zetka and Rose 1995; 18 Ross-Macdonald and Roeder 1994; 19 Her and Doggett 1998; 20 Edelmann et al. 1999; 21 Kelly et al. 2000; 22 Hollingsworth et al. 1995; 23 Edelmann et al. 1997; 24 Harfe and Jinks-Robertson 2000; 25 Ade et al. 1999; 26 Tijsterman et al. 2002; 27 Iaccarino et al. 1996; 28 Tornier et al. 2001; 29 Ade et al. 1999; Culligan and Hays 2000; Dong et al. 2002; 30 Horii et al. 1999; 31 Baker et al. 1995; 32 Prolla et al. 1994; Schar et al. 1997; 33 Schar et al. 1997; 34 Tomer et al. 2002; 35 Baker et al. 1999; 37 Hoffmann et al. 2003; Hunter and Borts 1997; Prolla et al. 1999; 38 Marti et al. 2003; 39 Leung et al. 2000; 40 Prolla et al. 1999; 34 Lipkin et al. 2001; 42 Lipkin et al. 2002; 43 Harfe and Jinks-Robertson 2000; 44 Wang and Kung 2002; 45 Qiu et al. 1999; Schmutte et al. 2001; 46 Wei et al. 2003; 47 Digilio et al. 1996; 48 Kirkpatrick et al. 2000; Tsubouchi and Ogawa 2000; 49 Rudolph et al. 1998; Szankasi and Smith 1995.



B Meiosis



218

Cytogenet Genome Res 107:216–231 (2004)

Fig. 1. Cartoon of mammalian mismatch repair complexes in repair (A) and meiosis (B). (A) Mammalian somatic cell mismatch repair. Current biochemical and genetic evidence indicates that MLH1 is the pivotal MutL homolog. MLH1 functions as a heterodimer, binding with MutL homologs PMS1, PMS2, and MLH3, which subsequently bind to MutS heterodimers attached to aberrant DNA. This MutS/MutL complex signals downstream effectors to remove and repair lesions such as 1-bp insertion-deletion loops, base-base substitutions and 1-12 bp insertion-deletion loops (MutLa and MutL γ). The function of MutL β complex is still poorly understood. (B) MutL and MutS homologs involved in mammalian meiosis. MSH4 and MSH5 appear to be predominantly employed during meiosis, possibly recruiting MLH1-MLH3 heterodimers. During meiosis, DNA joint molecules are formed by the induction of double-strand DNA breaks and their subsequent repair. At this time heteroduplex DNA is created, which may contain mismatched DNA that are substrates for repair via the MMR pathway. However, substrates for MutS homolog DNA binding, such as double Holliday junctions, may result in ADP-ATP exchange, thereby signaling downstream events in recombination.

Table 1 (continued)

| <i>E. coli</i> (4.6 M | B) | Meiotically active heterodimers | Putative meiotic function | |
|----------------------------|-------------|---|---|--|
| MutS | (Msh1) | NID | Maintenance of mitochondrial genome integrity. | |
| | (Msh2) | MSH2-MSH3 and MSH2-MSH6 | No known role in mammalian meiosis, both sexes of mutant mice are fertile, and have apparently normal meioses (de Wind et al. 1995). However MSH2 may act to suppress homeologous recombination (Chambers et al. 1996). MMR of meiotic heteroduplex DNA in <i>S. cerevisiae</i> . MSH2 and MSH2-MSH6 heterodimer bind Holliday junctions in vitro (Alani et al. 1997; Marsischky et al. 1999). Heterodimer of MSH2/MSH3 recognizes heteroduplex loops, mismatched bp and branched DNA structures with a free 3' tail, and acts to recruit RAD1/RAD10 in <i>S. cerevisiae</i> (Sugawara et al. 1997). MSH2 supresses homeologous recombination during meiosis. | |
| | (Msh3) | MSH2-MSH3 | see Msh2. Msh3 ^{-/-} mice are fertile with no apparent meiosis defects (Wei et al. 2002). | |
| | (Msh4) | MSH4-MSH5 | Heterodimerizes with Msh5 but has no repair function. Male and female mice with targeted mutation of Msh4 are sterile (Kneitz et al. 2000). MSH4 colocalizes with RPA (Moens et al. 2002) and MLH1 (Santucci-Darmanin et al. 2000). May function in an interference regulated meiotic recombination pathway. In <i>S. cerevisiae</i> MSH4 affects the distribution and frequency of homologous crossing-over (Khazanehdari and Borts, 2000; Novak et al. 2001; Pochart et al. 1997; Ross-Macdonald and Roeder 1994). | |
| | (Msh5) | MSH4-MSH5 | MSH5 facilitates crossing over with Msh4 in <i>S. cerevisiae</i> (Hollingsworth et al. 1995). Male and female mice are sterile due to a block prior to, or due to inhibition of, synapsis prior to pachytene (de Vries et al. 1999; Edelmann et al. 1999). | |
| | (Msh6) | MSH2-MSH6 | Though MSH2-MSH6 binds Holliday junctions in vitro, MSH6 appears to have no meiotic phenotype and mice have apparently normal fertility (Wei et al. 2002). | |
| | (Msh7) | NID | No apparent meiotic role. | |
| MutL | (Pms1/2) | MLH1-PMS2 (MLH1- PMS1 in yeast) | Corrects mismatches occuring in meiotic heteroduplex DNA in <i>S. cerevisiae</i> (Wang et al. 1999). Female mice are fertile and males are infertile. A portion of male SCs exhibit mispairing, sperm head formation is defective and no mature sperm are competent for fertilization (Baker et al. 1995). Mice with PMS2 and MSH2 deficiency have normal levels of recombination, indicating that homeologous recombination is not increased, or not affected, in these backgrounds (Qin et al. 2002). | |
| | (Mlh1) | MLH1-PMS2 (PMS1 yeast) MLH1- MLH3 MLH1- MLH2 | Heterodimerizes with Mlh3 and localizes to sites of reciprocal recombination (Lipkin et al. 2002) and promotes crossing over in <i>S. cerevisiae</i> (Hunter and Borts 1997). In <i>S. cerevisiae</i> MLH1-PMS1 corrects heteroduplex mismatches (Prolla et al. 1994) and MLH1-MSH2 promotes Mendelian segregation but does not act in mismatch correction, nor crossing over (Wang et al. 1999). | |
| | (Pms2/Mlh2) | NID | Mice with a targeted mutation of Pms1 have apparently normal meiosis (Prolla et al. 1998). | |
| | (Mlh3) | MLH1- MLH3 | Mice with an Mlh3 targeted mutation are infertile, and mice completely lack chiasmata (Lipkin et al. 2002). Thus, MLH3 affects reciprocal recombination but has weak, if any, repair activity. MLH3 interacts with MSH4 biochemically (Santucci-Darmanin et al. 2002) and with MLH1 (Lipkin et al. 2002). | |
| MMR Exonucleases (Exo1) | | NID | 5' to 3' exonuclease, also affects 3' to 5' repair in mice, and both male and female mice are sterile (Wei et al. 2003). E <i>cerevisiae</i> mutants have increased MI non-disjunction, indicating that Exo1 may promote crossing over (Kirkpatrick et al. 20 <i>S. pombe</i> Exo1 acts to repair heteroduplex DNA with MSH2 and PMS1. | |

Like the *E. coli* MutL homodimer, the eukaryotic MutL homolog heterodimers are thought to act as an adaptor complex, linking the MMR system to downstream effectors. In the case of true MMR events, these downstream events involve the DNA excision and repair enzymes (exonucleases, polymerases, ligases, etc.) responsible for removing and replacing the aberrant nucleotide tract. Although MMR specific endonucleases, helicases, and ligases have not been identified, one MMR 5' to 3' exonuclease, EXO1, has been found to affect both 5' and 3' repair in mice (Wei et al., 2003) and DNA polymerase δ appears to be involved in repair synthesis. In addition, the MutL heterodimer also links MMR events to the cell cycle and

checkpoint machinery and is known to induce the expression of pro-apoptotic factors in the face of irreparable damage (Zhang et al., 1999; Schofield and Hsieh, 2003). Thus, MutS and MutL homolog family members have been repeatedly employed as DNA-binding and signaling molecules outside of the typical mismatch repair pathway.

In budding yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, MMR family members have been found to be necessary to repair mismatches in meiotic hybrid DNA. Yeast *msh2* and *pms1* mutants exhibit increased post-meiotic segregation without apparent defects in reciprocal recombination. Conversely, MMR family members such as MLH3, MSH4 and MSH5 do not repair hybrid DNA at

Cytogenet Genome Res 107:216-231 (2004)

meiosis, and instead affect reciprocal recombination and/or interference (Borts et al., 2000; Schofield and Hsieh, 2003).

Although much is known about the meiotic function of MMR protein family members in yeast, studies in mammals are confounded by greater genome complexity and size, more DSBs than eventual recombination products, and difficulty in isolating recombination intermediates and all products of a single meiosis. However, immunocytology and molecular genetic approaches in mice have provided much insight into the roles of these proteins in mammals. This review will outline some of the recent advances in mammalian meiotic MMR studies using various targeted mutations made through homologous recombination. Together with the more complete biochemical evidence from yeast and other organisms, we hope to add insight to the mechanisms involved in meiotic progression in mammals. In particular, this review seeks to address the complexity of MMR interactions within the context of recombination, with comparison to the canonical role of MMR proteins in repair of mismatches. In addition, the review will compare and contrast MMR-driven events across species as a means to identify the roles of the MMR proteins in adapting to increased genome size in mammalian species.

Mammalian MMR proteins and homologous recombination

MSH4 and MSH5

Much of our knowledge of the biochemical function of MSH4-MSH5 heterodimers has arisen as a result of studies in budding yeast. S. cerevisiae Msh4 and Msh5 proteins have been shown to form a hetero-oligomeric complex (Pochart et al., 1997) and both msh4 and msh5 mutants have wild-type levels of gene conversion and postmeiotic segregation, indicating that MutSy does not normally participate in meiotic MMR per se. Yeast recombination intermediates can be physically observed, and strand exchange occurs at wild-type levels yet crossing over is reduced by about 40 to 50% in msh4/5 mutants, with a resultant increase in non-disjunction and decreased spore viability (Ross-Macdonald and Roeder, 1994; Hollingsworth et al., 1995). Although deleting yeast Msh4 does not prevent meiotic progression at zygotene, as it does in mice, synapsis and SC formation are delayed, and interference is disrupted for a subset of crossovers, in a manner epistatic with the synaptonemal complex-encoding genes, Zip1 and Zip2 (Novak et al., 2001). The term interference refers to the process by which the distance between neighboring reciprocal recombination events is regulated, such that for positive interference the formation of one crossover site will reduce the probability of a second forming in its vicinity. In this way, crossovers are distributed according to SC and chromosome length, rather than at random, with each SC having at least one. The defect in interference in *msh4* yeast could be due to delayed synapsis and SC formation, or a failure to load Msh4 (zip1 and zip2 mutants have delayed Msh4 localization). Interestingly, Schizosaccharomyces pombe does not have a synaptonemal complex structure nor Msh4/5 orthologs, and subsequently no crossover interference (De Los Santos et al., 2003). There is indication that the

S. cerevisiae Msh4-Msh5 heterodimer biases the resolution of Holliday junctions towards reciprocal recombination, and that they mediate interference. However, as yet, neither yeast Msh4 nor Msh5 have been shown to bind Holliday junctions in vitro, while yeast Msh2-Msh6 have (Alani et al., 1997; Marsischky et al., 1999), leading to the suggestion that Msh4-Msh5 heterodimers function prior to the appearance of these structures (Schofield and Hsieh, 2003). Recent studies have demonstrated that while human MSH4-MSH5 does not bind other types of DNA structures, it does bind Holliday junctions in vitro. This binding stimulates ATPase-dependent sliding clamp activity. Such activation does not occur when MSH2-MSH6 binds to these structures (R. Fishel, personal communication).

Interestingly, a point mutation in yeast Msh5 results in DNA alkylation tolerance (Bawa and Xiao, 2003). Msh4 and Msh5 are considered meiosis-specific MutS homologs, partly since they do not function in mismatch repair, as detected by microsatellite instability, but also their mRNA expression level is low in tissues other than testis (Paquis-Flucklinger et al., 1997). However, there is some indication that Msh4 is expressed at low levels in many other mouse tissues (Her et al., 2001). Whether this mRNA is degraded or translated into protein is unknown. These findings suggest that MSH4 and MSH5 may have a role in detection of DNA damage and cell death in somatic cells, a pathway that may be facilitated by numerous DSBs, induced by the mismatch repair system, that subsequently lead to cell death. Similar to the meiotic requirement for these gene products in mice and yeast, the nematode MSH4 ortholog, encoded by the him-14 gene, appears to be essential for crossing-over. However, like yeast, pairing and synapsis still proceeds in him-14 mutants (Zalevsky et al., 1999). Likewise, Caenorhabditis elegans MSH5 is essential for crossing-over and chiasma formation and/or maintenance (Kelly et al., 2000).

Mammalian homologs of Msh4 and Msh5 have been identified in mice and humans, and both are most highly expressed in meiotic cells (Wijnen et al., 1996; Paquis-Flucklinger et al., 1997; Her and Doggett, 1998; Her et al., 1999). Both MSH4 and MSH5 proteins have the conserved MutS C-terminal ADP binding motif and protein-protein interaction domain, yet there is some divergence of N-terminal DNA binding residues that may confer alternate binding specificity or function. Amino acids known to be required for mismatch recognition are largely absent in both MSH4 and MSH5, which correlates with these proteins being functionally inactive in post-replicative mismatch repair. Interestingly, structure prediction indicates that an MSH4/5 heterodimer likely contains a hole approximately 30 by 70 Å that would be large enough for a recombination intermediate to slide through as an MSH4/5 sliding clamp proceeds (Obmolova et al., 2000).

Protein-protein interaction studies of mouse and human proteins expressed in cultured cells indicate that MSH4 and MSH5 dimerize via their carboxy-termini (Winand et al., 1998; Bocker et al., 1999; Her et al., 1999, 2001). Furthermore, MSH4 and MSH5 both co-immunoprecipitate with RAD51 in mouse spermatocyte extracts, and also with MLH1, while RAD51 and MLH1 fail to interact biochemically (P.E. Cohen, unpublished observations). These studies, along with immunolocalization data, indicate that MSH4-MSH5 appear on inter-



Fig. 2. Immunohistochemistry of post-pubertal testes from wild-type mice (**A**, **D**), and from mice with targeted deletions of the MutS homologs, Msh4 (**B**, **E**) and Msh5 (**C**, **F**). (**A**) Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) staining of a testis section from a wild-type C57BL/6J mouse. The testis-tubules have an outer layer of Sertoli cells, types A and B spermatogonia, primary and secondary spermatocytes and developing spermatozoa and spermatids in the center. (**B**) H&E staining of testis sections from a mouse with targeted deletion of Msh4. These mice exhibit abnormal chromosomal synapsis and germ cell development arrests during prophase I. As such, these mice lack secondary spermatocytes and later developing cells, and are infertile. The tubules contain vacuous spaces that are outgrowths of Sertoli cells (Sertoli-cell bodies). Leydig cell hypertrophy is evident in both mutant mouse strains. (**C**) H&E staining of Msh5 deleted mouse testis. Testicular morphology and

spermatocyte development are identical to Msh4 mice, and these animals are also infertile. (**D**) Germ cell nuclear antigen-1 (GCNA1) labeling of testis sections from a wild-type C57BL/6 mouse. GCNA1 recognizes spermatogonial cells and primary spermatocytes, until the diplotene stage, and gradually depletes until there is none detected in elongating spermatids. (**E**) GCNA1 staining of testis from a mouse with a targeted Msh4 deletion. GCNA1 indicates that testes from these mice have apparently normal numbers of Sertoli cells and spermatogonia, however apoptosis increases during prophase I and there is a shortage of primary spermatocytes. The testes are devoid of spermatids and spermatozoa, and both males and females are sterile. (**F**) GCNA1 staining of testis from a mouse with a targeted deletion of Msh5. The meiotic phenotype and testicular morphology is identical to that for Msh4, and both males and females are sterile. Bar = 100 µm.

mediate meiotic nodules (MN) in zygonema of prophase I, and persist through until late pachynema or early diplonema. Specifically, the number of MSH4 foci on spermatocyte chromosome cores during zygonema is approximately 142 per nucleus (Kneitz et al., 2000), roughly half that seen for RAD51 foci at the same stage (Plug et al., 1996), and these MSH4-positive foci steadily decline through late zygonema and into pachynema (Kneitz et al., 2000; Moens et al., 2002). By the mid-pachytene stage, the number of MSH4 foci is approximately 47 per nucleus, roughly double the number of MLH1 foci that are seen at this stage (Anderson et al., 1999; Kneitz et al., 2000).

Immunolocalization of MSH4 indicates that it colocalizes with the single-strand binding protein, replication protein A (Moens et al., 2002). RPA is thought to mediate homology search and strand exchange by binding single stranded DNA with RAD51 and DMC1 (Ashley et al., 1995; Moens et al., 2002). However, RPA single-strand binding activity has also been implicated with a role in the MMR pathway (Lin et al., 1998; Ramilo et al., 2002), and it is unclear if all RPA foci at prophase I mediate the same recombination/resolution pathway at all MNs with which they associate. RPA localizes as discrete foci to the SC, starting at mid-leptotene, that increase to more than 200 foci until mid-zygotene, and decline to zero by mid to late pachytene, and associates with MSH4 at this time. However, unlike RPA, MSH4 localization persists into the beginning of the desynaptic diplotene stage (Kneitz et al., 2000; Santucci-Darmanin et al., 2000), and the functional implication of its interaction with RPA remains uncertain.

Male and female mice bearing targeted deletions of either Msh4 or Msh5 are sterile due to a lack of germ cells caused by defective meiosis (Figs. 2 and 3). In males, meiotic disruption occurs prior to, or prevents, complete synapsis (Fig. 4). However, these mice do not have a microsatellite instability phenotype as expected for a mismatch repair deficiency, there is no apparent increase in cancer incidence, and they are proficient in mismatch repair (de Vries et al., 1999; Edelmann et al., 1999; Kneitz et al., 2000). The similar phenotypes that result from deletion of either protein indicate that they interact together or in the same pathway during recombination and/or synapsis, and is supported by biochemical evidence of their interactions in mammalian germ cell extracts (P.E. Cohen, unpublished observations). During meiosis, spermatocytes from Msh4-/- or Msh5-/- male mice show apparent complete accumulation of axial element structures along homologous chromosomes, but this does not ensure successful pairing and synapsis. In some spermatocytes of these mutant animals RAD51 hyperlocalizes to chromosome cores, indicating either a failure of DSB resolution, or increased DSB formation that leads to apoptosis. By pachynema, when WT chromosomes show complete synapsis of



Fig. 3. Immunohistochemistry of ovaries from mice at day 18 of gestation (E18), day 4 post-partum (D4PP) and post-puberty (ADULT) from wild-type C57BL/6J mice (**A**, **B**, **C**), and from mice with targeted deletions of the MutS homologs, *Msh4* (**D**, **E**, **F**) and *Msh5* (**G**, **H**, **I**). (**A**) GCNA1 staining of ovary sections from wild-type *Msh4^{+/+}* mice on a C57BL/6J background indicates that at day 18 of gestation the ovaries have large numbers of prophase I and interstitial cells. (**B**) GCNA1 staining of D4PP ovary sections indicates that the number of prophase I cells declines as oocytes progress into dictyate arrest, and primordial follicles start to form. (**C**) H&E staining of adult ovary sections showing normally developing pre-antral and antral follicles. (**D**) GCNA1 staining of E18 ovary sections from an *Msh4^{-/-}* fetus. At this early stage of development these ovaries have no noticeably lower numbers of prophase I stage cells. (**E**) GCNA1 of D4PP ovaries from *Msh4^{-/-}* females shows that by this stage there are markedly fewer prophase I cells compared with wild type, and there are no primordial follicles, due to cells

arresting prior to full synapsis in pachynema. (F) H&E of $Msh4^{-/-}$ postpubertal, adult, ovaries indicates a heterogeneous phenotype, a proportion of ovaries contain fibrous tissue devoid of oocytes, as in F, and others are devoid of all tissue including oocytes, as in the $Msh5^{-/-}$ ovary in I. Interestingly, some fetuses have one ovary that looks like F and the other looks like I. (G) GCNA1 staining of ovary sections from E18 $Msh5^{-/-}$ fetuses. Like $Msh4^{-/-}$ ovaries these mice do not have a noticeably lower number of prophase I oocytes compared with wild type. (H) GCNA1 staining of ovary sections from D4PP $Msh5^{-/-}$ mice indicates that, like $Msh4^{-/-}$ ovaries, there are no primary follicles forming and there are fewer prophase I cells than wild type, due to disruption of meiosis prior to full pachytene synapsis. (I) H&E staining of adult ovary sections from $Msh5^{-/-}$ mice. As with $Msh4^{-/-}$ mice, the ovarian phenotype is heterogeneous, with some appearing as in F and some as in I. * = Ovarian bursa.

autosomes and of the XY pseudoautosomal region (PAR), spermatocytes from *Msh4-* and *Msh5-*deficient mice exhibit massive failure of synapsis, with almost no fully/normally synapsed bivalents being observed (Fig. 4).

Prophase I occurs during gestation in female mammals, and ovaries from $Msh4^{-/-}$ and $Msh5^{-/-}$ females at mouse embryonic day (E)18.5 show normal numbers of oocytes compared to wildtype ovaries of the same gestational age (Fig. 3). However, shortly after birth when oocytes are normally still progressing toward dictyate arrest, the number of pre-meiotic and prophase I germ cells, as detected by germ cell nuclear antigen 1 (GCNA1) immunoreactivity (Wang and Enders, 1996), is significantly diminished in $Msh4^{-/-}$ and $Msh5^{-/-}$ females compared to wild type (Edelmann et al., 1999; Kneitz et al., 2000). By 6 days post-partum (pp) the ovaries of *Msh4-/-* and *Msh5-/*females are almost completely devoid of GCNA1 signal, whereas wild-type mice still have significant levels of immunoreactivity (Edelmann et al., 1999; Kneitz et al., 2000), indicating the complete loss of oocytes by one week of age (Fig. 3).

Chromosomal analysis of meiotic progression in oocytes from MSH4- and MSH5-deficient mice reveals similar meiotic disruption to that seen in males of the same genotype. Oocytes from $Msh4^{-/-}$ females show significant disruption of synapsis at zygonema, though more regions of synapsis are evident in the female germ cells than seen in the male germ cells, perhaps reflecting differences in regulation and/or meiotic checkpoint stringency

Msh4-/- and Msh5-/- spermatocytes

в



Mid Leptonema no synapsis

no synapsis

Late Leptonema synapsis initiated



Mid Zygonema progressing synapsis



Late Zygonema incomplete synapsis



Late Zygonema maximal synapsis

Wild-type, Mlh1-/- and Mlh3-/- spermatocytes



Mid Leptonema



Late Leptonema synapsis initiated



progressing synapsis



Pachynema full synapsis



progressing desynapsis

Fig. 4. Immunofluorescent representation of synaptic progression as determined by SCP3 (red), SCP1 (green) and centromere, CREST (blue) labeling, through meiotic prophase I in male mice deficient for MutS homologs (A, B, C, D, E) and wild type (similar to MutL homologs; F, G, H, I, J). (A) Msh4-/- spermatocyte during early prophase I (leptotene stage). The chromosome cores, as indicated by SCP3 (red), are just beginning to form, there are 40 unpaired centromeres, and there is no synapsis (as indicated by SCP1, green). (B) Chromosome core formation progresses and some synapsis begins (as indicated by the green areas). (C) Synapsis, and SCP1 localization, increases as zygonema progresses. (D) In Msh4-/-mouse spermatocytes (shown) and $Msh5^{-/-}$ mouse spermatocytes (not shown) synapsis is never completed. Here the chromosome cores are compacted but there are 35 centromeres (a mixture of paired and unpaired). (E) This figure shows the maximal amount of synapsis found in both Msh4-/- and Msh5-/- mice, here there are 28 centromeres (a mixture of paired and unpaired) and SCP1 only localizes to a few synapsed regions, compared to 20 paired centromeres and complete localization of SCP1 between all homologs (and the X-Y pseudoautosomal region) in wild type. (F) Wild-type (shown), Mlh1-/- (not shown), and Mlh3-/- (not shown) mouse spermatocytes, chromosome cores begin to form during early prophase I (leptonema) and there are 40 unpaired centromeres. (G) As leptonema progresses, some synapsis is initiated. (H) During zygonema synapsis and centromere pairing progresses. (I) During pachynema synapsis is complete, SCs compact, and there are 20 paired centromeres. (J) During late prophase I (diplonema) the SCP1 synaptic component is gradually lost from the SCs and the homologs repel one another. An overlap of green and red fluorescence results in yellow coloring.

between the sexes (Edelmann et al., 1999; Kneitz et al., 2000). Thus, in both male and female mice, the consequence of MSH4 or MSH5 loss is more severe than in yeast and worms, with failure of pairing and synapsis and non-homologous associations in the former, and apparently normal synapsis in the latter.

MLH1

In S. cerevisiae, Mlh1 is essential for ensuring appropriate levels of crossing over during meiosis, while analysis of mlh1msh4 double mutant strains indicates that the two act in the same pathway to maintain recombination rates (Hunter and Borts, 1997). Mutants for mlh1 display increased non-disjunction, coupled with higher rates of post-meiotic segregation (Borts et al., 2000).

In mice, electron microscope (EM) defined meiotic nodules localize to the SC throughout prophase I. Nodules that occur late in prophase I correspond in number and distribution with chiasmata, the sites of reciprocal exchange, and as such are referred to as recombination nodules (Carpenter, 1975). MLH1

immunofluorescence shows that localization to SCs begins during mid-pachynema, and the number and distribution pattern of MLH1 foci correspond to that of chiasmata, both in mice and in humans (Tease, 1978; Baker et al., 1996; Barlow and Hulten, 1998; Anderson et al., 1999; Woods et al., 1999; Tease et al., 2002). EM analysis of the protein components of meiotic nodules throughout prophase I indicates that MLH1 is a component of only the recombination nodules and thus marks the sites of crossing over in mice and humans (Moens et al., 2002). When prophase I is precociously induced with the phosphatase inhibitor okadaic acid, MLH1 colocalizes with chiasmata and recombination nodules (Moens et al., 2002; Marcon and Moens, 2003). Variability in the total number of MLH1 foci at pachynema is consistent with sex-specific differences in genetic exchange, that is higher in females than in males, confirming that MLH1 marks the sites of reciprocal exchange (Anderson et al., 1999; Woods et al., 1999; Koehler et al., 2002; Lynn et al., 2002). The number of MLH1 foci per bivalent correlates with the length of the SC, in that longer SCs have more foci than do

Cytogenet Genome Res 107:216-231 (2004)

shorter ones, consistent with non-random, interference regulation of crossing over. However, the relationship between length and number only holds after each bivalent has at least one focus, meaning that short (less than $6 \mu m$) SCs have more than the expected number of foci, as predicted by length (Froenicke et al., 2002; Kleckner et al., 2003).

Mlh1-/- male and female mice are sterile as a result of meiotic failure during prophase I. In the case of Mlh1 null males, chromosomes undergo normal synapsis and initiation of recombination, as demonstrated by the accumulation of SC proteins (Baker et al., 1996; Cohen and Pollard, 2001) and the appearance of phosphorylated histone H2AX and RAD51 at leptonema and zygonema (P.E. Cohen, unpublished observations). By pachynema, chromosome cores from Mlh1-/- mouse spermatocytes are fully synapsed and progress normally through to diplonema (Baker et al., 1996). However, at least 10-fold fewer chiasmata are formed, or stabilized, such that only a few residual meiotic nodules are observed at pachytene (N.K. Kolas, A. Svetlanov, P.E. Cohen, manuscript in preparation). As a result most homologs cannot remain associated after desynapsis, resulting in the appearance of univalents during diplotene, and testis that are completely devoid of mature sperm (Fig. 5).

In adult *Mlh1-/-* females, oocytes look remarkably healthy and ovarian follicles are observed at all stages of follicular growth (Edelmann et al., 1996). However, these oocytes fail to fertilize properly and are not viable (Edelmann et al., 1996). Thus, chiasma loss results in both male and female sterility at, or after, diplotene (Baker et al., 1996; Edelmann et al., 1996). Oocyte chromosomes from Mlh1-/- females do not align properly at metaphase and cannot form a proper spindle (Woods et al., 1999). These oocytes show multiple abnormalities in spindle formation and polar body extrusion, and the few that make it past MI cannot proceed past the two-cell zygote stage (Edelmann et al., 1996; Woods et al., 1999; Eaker et al., 2002). By contrast, spermatocyte spindles are apparently undisrupted by scattered chromosomes (Eaker et al., 2002), but the lack of appropriate spindle tension results in apoptosis at or before metaphase I (Eaker et al., 2002). Thus, while the deficiency in chiasma maintenance is similar for male and female Mlh-/- animals, the apoptotic response is quite different, suggesting distinct checkpoint mechanisms in males and females. In addition, the phenotypic consequence to oocyte meiosis in *Mlh1* null females is quite different to that seen in mice lacking either MSH4 or MSH5, since the meiotic failure occurs later in the absence of MLH1, and thus the oocytes may escape elimination at a pachytene checkpoint. Instead, oocytes from Mlh1-/females enter diplonema and then dictyate arrest after birth, as in wild type, and it is not until meiosis resumes at ovulation that the meiotic defects are manifested.

MLH3

All evidence points to MLH1 as a molecular marker of reciprocal exchange raising the question as to its MutL-binding partner at these sites. Immunolocalization and immunoprecipitation studies indicate that this partner is MLH3 (Fig. 6). Indeed, analysis of yeast mutants for *mlh3* indicates similar reductions in crossing over to that seen in *mlh1* mutant strains, while crossing over appears unaffected in *mlh2* or *pms1* mutant

strains (Wang et al., 1999). Interestingly, however, in mice MLH3 binds to SCs as early as late zygotene/early pachytene, prior to MLH1 (Lipkin et al., 2002). Furthermore, once MLH1 does bind to these sites, there are consistently two or three MLH3 foci that do not coincide with an MLH1 focus raising the possibility that those MLH3 lone foci (a) still have yet to bind MLH1, (b) are present alone or as a homodimer, or (c) may be interacting with another MutL homolog binding partner (Lipkin et al., 2002), though biochemical evidence is lacking for a functional interaction between MLH3 and PMS2, the most likely candidate. Interestingly, the development of recombination nodules, and thus crossovers, is dependent on MLH3. In Mlh3-/- spermatocytes and oocytes, no meiotic nodules can be found at the EM level after zygotene, and there are no MLH1 foci identified both at the EM and LM level (Lipkin et al., 2002). On the other hand, when *Mlh1* is deleted, several MLH3 foci remain at the late EM-defined nodules (N.K. Kolas, A. Svetlanov and P.E. Cohen, manuscript in preparation), but the status of these nodules (recombinational or otherwise) is uncertain. The persistence of several chiasmata at metaphase I in male Mlh1-/- mice suggests that the MLH3 foci localize to sites of exchange and MLH1 may be required for maintenance (Baker et al., 1996; Edelmann et al., 1996).

With the exception of the residual crossovers observed in spermatocytes from Mlh1 null males, the meiotic phenotype of *Mlh3*^{-/-} mice is similar to that seen for the *Mlh1* null animals (Fig. 5). Male mice are sterile as a result of prophase I defects occurring at pachynema and into diplonema (Lipkin et al., 2002) such that air-dried chromosome preparations at metaphase I reveal mostly achiasmate univalent chromosomes. Interestingly, MLH1 fails to localize to these chromosomes at pachynema in the absence of MLH3 suggesting, as mentioned above, that MLH3 is recruited to meiotic nodules first, followed by MLH1. Thus, by mid-pachynema, spermatocytes from Mlh3-/- fail to accumulate both MLH1 and MLH3 on their chromosome cores, appear to have no identifiable recombination nodules, and have no chiasmata. As a consequence, chromosomes fail to remain synapsed after breakdown of the central element of the SC at diplonema. Similar to Mlh1-/mice, Mlh3-/- females have normal-sized ovaries containing an apparently full complement of follicles at all stages of development (Lipkin et al., 2002). However, oocytes from these ovaries fail to undergo proper fertilization due to their severe reduction in reciprocal recombination events.

Like MLH1, MLH3 has also been found to colocalize with replication protein A (RPA) in mouse spermatocyte preparations (Lipkin et al., 2002). RPA, a homolog of *E. coli* singlestrand binding protein, localizes to the SCs just downstream of the RecA homologs RAD51 and DMC1. RPA is thought to play a role in homolog recognition by binding single-stranded intermediates (Ashley et al., 1995; Moens et al., 1997, 2002; Tarsounas et al., 1999). EM analysis of mouse SCs shows that RPA colocalizes with MSH4 and MLH3 (Lipkin et al., 2002; Moens et al., 2002). Whether MLH3, MSH4 and MSH5 colocalize on the SC still remains to be seen but in vitro experiments indicate that MLH3 and MSH4 can interact (Santucci-Darmanin et al., 2002) and MLH1 and MSH4 colocalize at MNs (Santucci-Darmanin et al., 2000).



Fig. 5. Immunohistochemistry of post-pubertal testes from wild-type mice (**A**, **D**) and from mice with targeted deletions of the MutL homologs, Mlh1 (**B**, **E**) and Mlh3 (**C**, **F**). (**A**) H&E staining of testis sections from a wild-type C57BL/6J mouse. The testicular seminiferous tubules contain a base layer of Sertoli cells and spermatogonia, with progressing stages of spermatogenic cells radiating into the lumen of the tubule. (**B**) H&E-stained testis sections from an $Mlh1^{-/-}$ mouse. (**C**) H&E-stained testis sections from an $Mlh1^{-/-}$ mouse. (**C**) H&E-stained testis sections from an $Mlh3^{-/-}$ mouse. Notice that in B and C the testes have apparently normal numbers of Sertoli cells and spermatogonia but there are fewer primary spermatocytes and the tubules are devoid of secondary spermatocytes and later

cells, including mature spermatids, and the mice are subsequently sterile. Testes from $Mlh1^{-/-}$ males appear more devoid of spermatogenic cells than do their $Mlh3^{-/-}$ counterparts. In both cases, Leydig cells (outside the semi-niferous tubules) appear normal. (**D**) TUNEL labeling for apoptotic cells in a testis section from a wild-type mouse. The arrows indicate the brown, positively stained, apoptotic cells. (**E**) TUNEL of $Mlh1^{-/-}$ testes. (**F**) TUNEL of $Mlh3^{-/-}$ mouse testes. Notice the increased proportion of TUNEL positive cells in **E** and **F**, due to a loss of chiasmata and subsequent metaphase I checkpoint activation, in the absence of either Mlh1 or Mlh3. Bar = 100 µm.



Fig. 6. Immunolocalization of MutL homologs, MLH1 and MLH3, to the synaptonemal complexes of mouse pachytene-stage spermatocytes. (**A**) Immunolocalization of MLH1 (bright green foci), the SC component SCP3 (green) and the centromeres recognized by human CREST antibody (blue). Both of these MLH1 and SCP3 antibodies are raised in mouse and are secondarily recognized by an antibody conjugated to FITC. The SCP3 is titrated to a minimal amount, that still recognizes cores, to allow the MLH1 foci to

stand out. (**B**) Immunolocalization of MLH1, SCP3, CREST and MLH3. The same field of view as in A, with green MLH1 and SCP3, showing MLH3 (red) localization. The majority of the MLH3 foci overlap with MLH1 foci, resulting in a yellow color. Three MLH3 foci (circled) in this picture do not coincide with MLH1 foci. Either these MLH3 lone foci have yet to acquire MLH1, or they are a separate subset of MLH3 foci, of unknown function, that never acquire MLH1.

PMS2

The mammalian protein PMS2 is orthologous to yeast Post Meiotic Segregation 1 (Pms1). Yeast, pms1 mutants exhibit a pronounced mutator phenotype characteristic of genes encoding MMR proteins. Moreover, as its name implies, these mutants exhibit deviations from the expected Mendelian segregation of markers (4:4, 6:2 and 2:6) during tetrad analysis, instead resulting in the recovery of allelic ratios of 5:3 or 3:5. This increased post-meiotic segregation phenotype is proposed to arise from a failure to repair mismatches in heteroduplex DNA (Wang et al., 1999). Human individuals bearing heterozygous mutations in PMS2 show an increased predisposition to colorectal and other cancers that are characterized by microsatellite instability (Kolodner and Alani, 1994; Lynch and Smyrk, 1996). Mutations in mouse Pms2 also result in MMRrelated genomic instability phenotypes, including microsatellite instability and increased cancer predisposition (Baker et al., 1995).

Male *Pms2-/-* mouse mutants are sterile as a result of meiosis I disruption, but unlike the phenotypes of *Mlh1* and *Mlh3* null males, the meiotic disruption is less tractable. Instead, spermatocytes are lost progressively through prophase I, with increased non-homologous chromosomal interactions being reported along with defects in chromosome synapsis (Baker et al., 1995). Interestingly, however, much of the meiotic defect appears to be strain-dependent (A. Svetlanov, M. Lenzi, N. Kolas, and P. Cohen, manuscript in preparation), though in all cases the males are sterile, producing few sperm that are non-viable (Baker et al., 1995). These data suggest a role for PMS2 in mammalian meiosis, but one that remains unclear at the current time.

While *Pms2* null males are sterile, the null females remain fertile, suggesting that PMS2 is required only for male meiotic progression. Furthermore, studies in our laboratory have indicated that MLH1 and MLH3 localize normally to late meiotic nodules in *Pms2*-/- males (A. Svetlanov, M. Lenzi, N. Kolas, and P. Cohen, manuscript in preparation), suggesting that reciprocal recombination events occur normally in the absence of PMS2. In view of the yeast data indicating a role for Pms1 in gene conversion, these data indicate that mammalian PMS2 may play a role in heteroduplex directed meiotic mismatch repair, rather than a role in reciprocal exchange events per se.

Other MMR proteins

Msh2 is transcribed in mouse testis (Richardson et al., 2000); however, protein immunolocalization is still evasive. Similar information concerning other MutS homologs remains limited. However, *Msh2*, *Msh3* and *Msh6* null mice are all fertile and give rise to apparently normal litter sizes (de Wind et al., 1995, 1999; Reitmair et al., 1995; Edelmann et al., 1997, 2000), suggesting that their roles in meiotic progression and recombination are minimal, or perhaps redundant. *S. cerevisiae* Msh2 and Msh6 have been shown to bind Holliday junctions in vitro, while Msh4 and Msh5 have not (Alani et al., 1997). In yeast, there may be a role for MMR in heteroduplex rejection (i.e. unwinding of heteroduplex), and MSH2 and PMS2 play anti-homeologous recombination roles during mitotic MMR in both yeast and mice. However, studies to date

indicate that homeologous recombination is not increased during meiosis in *Msh2* and *Pms2* null mice (Qin et al., 2002).

Downstream of mismatch recognition in the MMR pathway are the 3'-5' and 5'-3' exonucleases that serve to remove mismatched bases, and thus they initiate mismatch correction. In eukaryotes, only the 5'-3' exonuclease, EXO1, has thus far been identified and characterized. Exo1 belongs to the Rad2 gene family and was originally identified in S. pombe in a screen for meiotically-induced genes (Szankasi and Smith, 1995). Further studies revealed that it plays a role in mutation avoidance in both S. pombe and S. cerevisiae (Johnson et al., 1998; Qiu et al., 1998; Rudolph et al., 1998), and that it interacts biochemically with Msh2, Mlh1 and Pms1 (Tishkoff et al., 1997; Rudolph et al., 1998; Tran et al., 2001). Interestingly, the enhanced mutation rate in exol yeast mutants carrying weak mutator mutations in *mlh1*, *pms1* and *msh2* suggest an additional role for EXO1 in stabilizing the MMR complex at mismatch sites (Amin et al., 2001).

The role of yeast Exo1 in meiosis was demonstrated by the observation that exo1 mutant S. pombe and S. cerevisiae strains exhibit increased meiosis I non-disjunction and reduced recombination (Borts et al., 2000; Khazanehdari and Borts, 2000; Kirkpatrick et al., 2000; Tsubouchi and Ogawa, 2000). However, its role has been the subject of considerable debate (reviewed by Hoffmann and Borts, this issue). In S. cerevisiae, Exo1 acts in the same pathway as Msh4 for intergenic recombination and crossing over, but appears to affect spore viability independently of both Msh4 and Msh5 (Khazanehdari and Borts, 2000; Kirkpatrick et al., 2000). On the basis of these, and other data, it was suggested that Exo1 functions to process a subset of recombination intermediates by generating singlestranded tails, while MSH4/5 functions to resolve recombination intermediates and to establish crossover interference (Khazanehdari and Borts, 2000).

Like its yeast counterpart, human EXO1 exhibits 5'-3' exonuclease activity and interacts biochemically with MSH2 and MLH1 (Tishkoff et al., 1998; Lee and Wilson, 1999; Lee Bi et al., 2002). Mouse EXO1 is highly expressed in lymphoid tissue and meiotic cells (Lee et al., 1999), possesses 5'-3' exonuclease activity, and is required for the repair of base:base and singlebase insertion/deletion mismatches (Wei et al., 2003). Interestingly, extracts from *Exo1* mutant mouse ES cells are deficient in both 5' and 3' directed repair, indicating that EXO1 is required for both 5'-3' and 3'-5' directed mismatch repair. A similar observation was made for human EXO1, but in this case, the 3' activity was MLH1-dependent, while the 5' activity was not (Genschel et al., 2002; Genschel and Modrich, 2003).

Both male and female *Exo1* mutant mice are sterile as a result of meiotic failure (Fig. 7). However, both spermatocytes and oocytes progress through early prophase I with wild-type levels of recombination, as demonstrated by the appropriate accumulation of MLH1 at meiotic nodules during pachynema (Wei et al., 2003). Spermatocytes from $Exo1^{-/-}$ males progress until metaphase I but, while a spindle is evident at this stage, the chromosomes appear to be misaligned at the metaphase plate and are more likely to be found as univalents, rather than bivalent pairs (Wei et al., 2003). This failure of spindle alignment results in checkpoint activation and a switch to apoptosis.

The phenotype of *Exo1* null mice suggests that this exonuclease functions in post-pachytene events and not in early processing of DSBs, as appears to be the case for its yeast ortholog. However, these findings do not rule out an earlier, less essential, or partially redundant, role for EXO1 in mammalian meiosis. Alternatively, other exonucleases might substitute for EXO1 during early DSB processing in mammalian germ cells, including MRE11. However, the exonuclease activity of MRE11 occurs in the 3'-5' direction, suggesting perhaps that the endonuclease activity of MRE11 might instead substitute for EXO1 at this early stage, in combination with its binding partners, RAD50 and NBS (Moreau et al., 2001).

Postulating the functions of the MMR proteins in mammalian meiosis

The DSB repair (DSBR) model (Szostak et al., 1983) has undergone considerable evolution since it was first proposed, and has gained more acceptance as intermediates of reciprocal recombination, double-strand breaks, 5' resected DNA, singleend invasion molecules and double Holliday junctions have all been physically identified and temporally defined in yeast (Schwacha and Kleckner, 1995; Paques and Haber, 1999). Recent evidence has demonstrated that the DSB initiating events can be resolved, following single-end invasion, through non-crossover (gene conversion) and crossover events that are processed through temporally and mechanistically-distinct pathways (Paques and Haber, 1999; Allers and Lichten, 2001b; De Los Santos et al., 2003). Thus, DSB formation, resection and strand invasion result in one of two pathways. In the first, second-end capture, DNA synthesis and ligation result in mature dHJs that may be resolved as crossovers or non-crossovers, depending on the polarity of cutting at each HJ (see Hoffmann and Borts, this issue, for further details). This first route encompasses the more traditional DSBR model. In the second scheme, known as synthesis-dependent strand annealing (SDSA), the invading strand is displaced and, following DNA synthesis, can anneal with the other, non-resected, DSB end (Allers and Lichten, 2001a). Following further DNA synthesis and ligation, only non-crossover products are obtained. In both models, all possible outcomes involve heteroduplex DNA, but it is only in the DSBR model that such heteroduplex DNA is actually incorporated into the recombination intermediate structures. This fact is important when considering the role of MMR proteins in processing of such intermediates and in the final resolution of recombination via these two mechanisms.

The preceding adjustment to our understanding of yeast recombination prompts us to reevaluate the role of the MMR proteins in gene conversion and reciprocal recombination events. Since the majority of DSBs in yeast are resolved as dHJrelated reciprocal events following stabilization of these structures by Msh4-Msh5, it has been suggested that Msh4-Msh5 binding might bias dHJ resolution towards reciprocal events, perhaps by ensuring second-end capture and/or by promoting dHJ formation, or by restricting access to the SDSA pathway. In addition, once the DSBR pathway is selected, presumably a similar mechanism must ensure that dHJs are resolved to pro-



Fig. 7. Immunohistochemistry of post-pubertal testes from mice with a targeted disruption of mismatch repair specific Exonuclease I. (**A**) TUNEL apoptosis labeling of a testis section from a wild-type C57BL/6J mouse. Few TUNEL-positive cells (brown) are evident. (**B**) TUNEL apoptosis labeling of a testis from mice with a point mutation in *Exo1*. Metaphase I is apparently disrupted in these mice, as they have abnormal spindle structures and high levels of apoptosis. The testes are devoid of spermatids and spermatozoa, and both male and female mice are sterile. (**D**) GCNA1 staining of a wild-type C57BL/6J mouse testis section. (**E**) GCNA of *Exo1*^{-/-} testis sections indicates that the testes have apparently normal numbers of Sertoli cells, spermatogonia and primary spermatocytes, however they are devoid of post-prophase I cells. Bar = 100 µm.

duce crossovers rather than non-crossovers. The mechanisms for such biasing are unclear at present.

In mammals the number of DSBs, as recognized by RAD51/DMC1 foci at zygonema, outnumber the eventual crossovers by 10-fold (Ashley et al., 1995; Cohen and Pollard, 2001; Moens et al., 2002). The number of crossovers amounts to approximately 24 in each spermatocyte (Baker et al., 1996; Anderson et al., 1999) and approximately 27 in oocytes (M. Lenzi, A. Svetlanov, N.K. Kolas, and P.E. Cohen, manuscript in preparation). The mechanisms by which the 250-350 or so DSBs become pared down to 24-27 crossover events is unclear, but it seems highly plausible that gene conversion events might be a way to reduce/resolve a proportion of the DSB numbers. In addition, gene conversion could account for the redistribution of polymorphisms within the mammalian genome (Fogel and Mortimer, 1969). That these events might, at least initially, involve MSH4 is illustrated by the observation of >150 MSH4 foci on SC cores at zygonema, a number that declines as the number of meiotic nodules gets pared down through to mid-pachynema, at which time the number of MSH4 foci is approximately 47 (Kneitz et al., 2000). By contrast, in yeast, approximately 55 Msh4-Msh5-positive foci are observed at pachynema, compared to an average of 90 eventual crossovers that occur in this species (Novak et al., 2001). Indeed, yeast studies have indicated that Msh4-positive



Fig. 8. Model for MMR protein-directed selection of crossover sites during prophase I of mammalian meiosis. During leptonema, MSH4 appears to bind to some of the sites of double-strand breaks, which exceed the eventual number of crossovers by 10-fold. Of the approximately 150 MSH4 foci on mammalian SCs at zygonema we propose that about 100 are "deselected" from the pool of possible reciprocal recombinants. The 47 foci observed during mid-pachynema would then mark the sites of double Holliday junctions, each of which can be resolved to either a non-crossover or crossover (marked by 25–27 MLH1/MLH3 localization) product, the distribution of which is regulated by interference.

foci might only represent a subset of reciprocal recombinant events. The conserved endonuclease complex Mus81/Mms4 may direct one relatively minor class of crossovers primarily on smaller chromosomes, which are not subject to interference. Accordingly, *S. pombe* have no Msh4 or Msh5 homologs, and no SC, and their crossover sites are not subject to interference, instead occurring through the Mus81 and Eme1 (the *S. pombe* homolog of Mms4) pathway (Boddy et al., 2001; De Los Santos et al., 2003).

Therefore the differences between yeast and mice are as follows: in yeast, few non-crossover events are seen, and the number of reciprocal recombination events observed exceeds the final number of Msh4 foci, suggesting two species of crossover event (one that is Msh4-dependent and one that is not). In mice the dynamics of recombination appear to be quite different. Firstly, the number of DSBs at leptonema is approximately 10fold higher than the eventual number of reciprocal recombination events that arise at pachynema. Of these 250 or so DSB sites, only about 150 acquire MSH4 (and presumably MSH5) at zygonema (Fig. 8). We would propose that these 150 sites represent the total number of substrates for strand invasion and further processing of recombination, with the other 200+ sites being repaired by other means. Of the sites that undergo further processing, approximately 70% become resolved prior to entry into pachynema as assessed by a decline to some 47 MSH4 sites observed at pachynema. Following the yeast two-pathway model (DSBR and SDSA), one could envisage that the 100 or so sites resolved prior to pachynema are substrates for the SDSA recombination mechanism. The remaining 30% (47/150) would then enter the DSBR pathway, forming joint molecules and dHJ structures. Accordingly, if the resolution of dHJs toward non-crossovers or crossovers occurs with equal probability, one would predict that half of these 47 DSBR sites become crossovers and the other half become non-crossovers. Consistent with this, approximately 53% of the total pachytene-MSH4 sites (25/47) become reciprocal recombination events, as assessed by the accumulation of both MLH1 and

MLH3, while the other 47% presumably become resolved as non-crossovers (Fig. 8).

In such a scenario, mouse MSH4 would not bias resolution towards crossovers, as is the case for yeast Msh4, nor would it specifically associate with double Holliday junctions, but instead perhaps an earlier occurring DNA joint molecule structure. Similarly, unlike yeast, such a model would imply that MSH4 alone is not sufficient to establish interference. Instead, this model suggests that it is MLH3 localization that biases the system towards crossover formation, and that the mechanisms responsible for this loading are what define interference in mouse germ cells. The molecular basis for this mechanism remains unclear, but it is possible that helicases such as MER3 or BLM (together with TOPIIIa) may mediate a switch towards the SDSA pathway at zygonema, possibly by preventing second-end capture and/or by encouraging annealing to the original DSB strand. Indeed in yeast the action of Msh4 on interference might be mediated in part by its interaction with the RecQ helicase, Sgs1. In mice too, it appears that MSH4 interacts with the RecQ helicase, BLM, in spermatocyte extracts (P.E. Cohen, unpublished observations).

The working model is that mammals and other large genome organisms have high rates of DSB induction at the onset of prophase I which must be resolved by the completion of prophase I, with only a tenth resulting in crossovers. That there is yet no satisfactory way of tracking mammalian meiosis in culture, nor for isolating their recombination intermediates, forces us to rely on extrapolation from yeast and biochemical data, both of which are limited in that they do not address the level of genome complexity. In addition, such comparative biology fails to address the functions of mammalian-specific meiotic genes such as Mei1. That MSH4/MSH5 has been proposed to bias dHJ toward crossing over does not fit with the observation in mammals that MSH4 localizes to two to three times more sites than there are crossovers. Thus we propose that a subset of MSH4/5 sites, bound to DNA joint molecule intermediates, are marked, perhaps by BLM, and "deselected"

from the total number of sites that can become dHJ. Thus, the 47 MSH4 sites at pachytene are the subset bound to mature dHJs. By an as yet undetermined mechanism MLH3 is targeted to a subset of these dHJs in a manner consistent with positive interference, and these sites go on to acquire MLH1 and be resolved as reciprocal recombinant/crossover events. As such the DNA recognition function of the mismatch repair family of proteins have been employed to influence the outcome of DSB repair. Future studies addressing these hypotheses should significantly advance our understanding of meiosis in complex organisms.

References

- Abdelnoor RV, Yule R, Elo A, Christensen AC, Meyer-Gauen G, Mackenzie SA: Substoichiometric shifting in the plant mitochondrial genome is influenced by a gene homologous to MutS. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:5968–5973 (2003).
- Acharya S, Foster PL, Brooks P, Fishel R: The coordinated functions of the *E. coli* MutS and MutL proteins in mismatch repair. Mol Cell 12:233–246 (2003).
- Ade J, Belzile F, Philippe H, Doutriaux MP: Four mismatch repair paralogues coexist in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: AtMSH2 AtMSH3 AtMSH6-1 and AtMSH6-2. Mol Gen Genet 262:239–249 (1999).
- Ade J, Haffani Y, Beizile FJ: Functional analysis of the Arabidopsis thaliana mismatch repair gene MSH2. Genome 44:651–657 (2001).
- Alani E, Lee S, Kane MF, Griffith J, Kolodner RD: Saccharomyces cerevisiae MSH2, a mispaired base recognition protein also recognizes Holliday junctions in DNA. J Mol Biol 265:289–301 (1997).
- Allers T, Lichten M: Differential timing and control of noncrossover and crossover recombination during meiosis. Cell 106:47–57 (2001a).
- Allers T, Lichten M: Intermediates of yeast meiotic recombination contain heteroduplex DNA. Mol Cell 8:225–231 (2001b).
- Amin NS, Nguyen MN, Oh S, Kolodner RD: exol-Dependent mutator mutations: model system for studying functional interactions in mismatch repair. Mol Cell Biol 21:5142–5155 (2001).
- Anderson LK, Reeves A, Webb LM, Ashley T: Distribution of crossing over on mouse synaptonemal complexes using immunofluorescent localization of MLH1 protein. Genetics 151:1569–1579 (1999).
- Ashley T, Plug AW, Xu J, Solari AJ, Reddy G, Golub EI, Ward DC: Dynamic changes in Rad51 distribution on chromatin during meiosis in male and female vertebrates. Chromosoma 104:19–28 (1995).
- Baker SM, Bronner CE, Zhang L, Plug AK, Robatzek M, Warren G, Elliott EA, Yu J, Ashley T, Arnheim N, Flavell RA, Liskay RM: Male mice defective in the DNA mismatch repair gene PMS2 exhibit abnormal chromosome synapsis in meiosis. Cell 82:309–319 (1995).
- Baker SM, Plug AW, Prolla TA, Bronner CE, Harris AC, Yao X, Christie DM, Monell C, Arnheim N, Bradley A, Ashley T, Liskay RM: Involvement of mouse *Mlh1* in DNA mismatch repair and meiotic crossing over. Nat Genet 13:336–342 (1996).
- Bardwell PD, Woo CJ, Wei K, Li Z, Martin A, Sack SZ, Parris T, Edelmann W, Scharff MD: Altered somatic hypermutation and reduced class-switch recombination in exonuclease 1-mutant mice. Nat Immunol 5:224-229 (2004).
- Barlow AL, Hulten MA: Crossing over analysis at pachytene in man. Eur J Hum Genet 6:350–358 (1998).
- Baudat F, Manova K, Yuen JP, Jasin M, Keeney S: Chromosome synapsis defects and sexually dimorphic meiotic progression in mice lacking Spo11. Mol Cell 6:989–998 (2000).

- Bawa S, Xiao W: A single amino acid substitution in MSH5 results in DNA alkylation tolerance. Gene 315:177–182 (2003).
- Bishop DK, Williamson MS, Fogel S, Kolodner RD: The role of heteroduplex correction in gene conversion in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Nature 328:362– 364 (1987).
- Bocker T, Barusevicius A, Snowden T, Rasio D, Guerrette S, Robbins D, Schmidt C, Burczak J, Croce CM, Copeland T, Kovatich AJ, Fishel R: hMSH5: a human MutS homologue that forms a novel heterodimer with hMSH4 and is expressed during spermatogenesis. Cancer Res 59:816–822 (1999).
- Boddy MN, Gaillard PH, McDonald WH, Shanahan P, Yates JR 3rd, Russell P: Mus81-Eme1 are essential components of a Holliday junction resolvase. Cell 107:537–548 (2001).
- Borts RH, Chambers SR, Abdullah MF: The many faces of mismatch repair in meiosis. Mutat Res 451:129–150 (2000).
- Buermeyer AB, Deschenes SM, Baker SM, Liskay RM: Mammalian DNA mismatch repair. A Rev Genet 33:533–564 (1999).
- Cargill M, Altshuler D, Ireland J, Sklar P, Ardlie K, Patil N, Shaw N, Lane CR, Lim EP, Kalyanaraman N, Nemesh J, Ziaugra L, Friedland L, Rolfe A, Warrington J, Lipshutz R, Daley GQ, Lander ES: Characterization of single-nucleotide polymorphisms in coding regions of human genes. Nat Genet 22:231–238 (1999).
- Carpenter AT: Electron microscopy of meiosis in Drosophila melanogaster females. II. The recombination nodule – a recombination-associated structure at pachytene? Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 72:3186– 3189 (1975).
- Chambers SR, Hunter N, Louis EJ, Borts RH: The mismatch repair system reduces meiotic homeologous recombination and stimulates recombination-dependent chromosome loss. Mol Cell Biol 16:6110– 6120 (1996).
- Champion MD, Hawley RS: Playing for half the deck: the molecular biology of meiosis. Nat Cell Biol 4 Suppl:s50–56 (2002).
- Cohen PE, Pollard JW: Regulation of meiotic recombination and prophase I progression in mammals. Bioessays 23:996–1009 (2001).
- Culligan KM, Hays JB: Arabidopsis MutS homologs – AtMSH2 AtMSH3 AtMSH6 and a novel AtMSH7 – form three distinct protein heterodimers with different specificities for mismatched DNA. Plant Cell 12:991–1002 (2000).
- De Los Santos T, Hunter N, Lee C, Larkin B, Loidl J, Hollingsworth NM: The mus81/mms4 endonuclease acts independently of double-holliday junction resolution to promote a distinct subset of crossovers during meiosis in budding yeast. Genetics 164:81–94 (2003).
- de Vries SS, Baart EB, Dekker M, Siezen A, de Rooij DG, de Boer P, te Riele H: Mouse MutS-like protein Msh5 is required for proper chromosome synapsis in male and female meiosis. Genes Dev 13: 523–531 (1999).

- de Wind N, Dekker M, Berns A, Radman M, te Riele H: Inactivation of the mouse MSH2 gene results in mismatch repair deficiency methylation tolerance hyperrecombination and predisposition to cancer. Cell 82:321–220 (1995).
- de Wind N, Dekker M, Claij N, Jansen L, van Klink Y, Radman M, Riggins G, van der Valk M, van't Wout K, te Riele H: HNPCC-like cancer predisposition in mice through simultaneous loss of Msh3 and Msh6 mismatch-repair protein functions. Nat Genet 23:359–362 (1999).
- Degtyareva NP, Greenwell P, Hofmann ER, Hengartner MO, Zhang L, Culotti JG, Petes TD: Caenorhabditis elegans DNA mismatch repair gene msh-2 is required for microsatellite stability and maintenance of genome integrity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99:2158–2163 (2002).
- Digilio FA, Pannuti A, Lucchesi JC, Furia M, Polito LC: *Tosca*: a Drosophila gene encoding a nuclease specifically expressed in the female germline. Dev Biol 178:90–100 (1996).
- Dong C, Whifford R, Langridge P: A DNA mismatch repair gene links to the Ph2 locus in wheat. Genome 45:116–124 (2002).
- Eaker S, Cobb J, Pyle A, Handel MA: Meiotic prophase abnormalities and metaphase cell death in MLH1deficient mouse spermatocytes: insights into regulation of spermatogenic progress. Dev Biol 249:85– 95 (2002).
- Edelmann W, Cohen PE, Kane M, Lau K, Morrow B, Bennett S, Umar A, Kunkel T, Cattoretti G, Chaganti R, Pollard JW, Kolodner RD, Kucherlapati R: Meiotic pachytene arrest in MLH-1-deficient mice. Cell 85:1125–1134 (1996).
- Edelmann W, Yang K, Umar A, Heyer J, Lau K, Fan K, Liedtke W, Cohen PE, Kane MF, Lipford JR, Yu N, Crouse G, Pollard JW, Kunkel T, Lipkin M, Kolodner R, Kucherlapati R: Mutation in the mismatch repair gene *Msh6* causes cancer susceptibility. Cell 91:467–477 (1997).
- Edelmann W, Cohen PE, Kneitz B, Winand N, Lia M, Heyer J, Kolodner R, Pollard JW, Kucherlapati R: Mammalian MutS homologue 5 is required for chromosome pairing in meiosis. Nat Genet 21: 123–127 (1999).
- Edelmann W, Umar A, Yang K, Heyer J, Kucherlapati M, Lia M, Kneitz B, Avdievich E, Fan K, Wong E, Crouse G, Kunkel T, Lipkin M, Kolodner RD, Kucherlapati R: The DNA mismatch repair genes *Msh3* and *Msh6* cooperate in intestinal tumor suppression. Cancer Res 60:803–807 (2000).
- Feschenko VV, Rajman LA, Lovett ST: Stabilization of perfect and imperfect tandem repeats by singlestrand DNA exonucleases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:1134–1139 (2003).
- Flores C, Engels W: Microsatellite instability in Drosophila spellchecker1 (MutS homolog) mutants. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:2964–2969 (1999).
- Fogel S, Hurst DD: Meiotic gene conversion in yeast tetrads and the theory of recombination. Genetics 57:455–481 (1967).
- Fogel S, Mortimer RK: Informational transfer in meiotic gene conversion. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 62:96–103 (1969).

- Foss HM, Hillers KJ, Stahl FW: The conversion gradient at *HIS4* of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. II. A role for mismatch repair directed by biased resolution of the recombinational intermediate. Genetics 153:573–583 (1999).
- Froenicke L, Anderson LK, Wienberg J, Ashley T: Male mouse recombination maps for each autosome identified by chromosome painting. Am J Hum Genet 71:1353–1368 (2002).
- Genschel J, Modrich P: Mechanism of 5'-directed excision in human mismatch repair. Mol Cell 12:1077– 1086 (2003).
- Genschel J, Bazemore LR, Modrich P: Human exonuclease I is required for 5' and 3' mismatch repair. J Biol Chem 277:13302–13311 (2002).
- Harfe BD, Jinks-Robertson S: DNA mismatch repair and genetic instability. Annu Rev Genet 34:359– 399 (2000).
- Her C, Doggett NA: Cloning structural characterization and chromosomal localization of the human orthologue of Saccharomyces cerevisiae MSH5 gene. Genomics 52:50–61 (1998).
- Her C, Wu X, Wan W, Doggett NA: Identification and characterization of the mouse MutS homolog 5: Msh5. Mamm Genome 10:1054–1061 (1999).
- Her C, Wu X, Bailey SM, Doggett NA: Mouse MutS homolog 4 is predominantly expressed in testis and interacts with MutS homolog 5. Mamm Genome 12:73–76 (2001).
- Hoffmann ER, Borts RH: Meiotic recombination intermediates and mismatch repair proteins. Cytogenet Genome Res 107:232–248 (2004).
- Hoffmann ER, Shcherbakova PV, Kunkel TA, Borts RH: MLH1 mutations differentially affect meiotic functions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 163:515–526 (2003).
- Hollingsworth NM, Ponte L, Halsey C: MSH5 a novel MutS homolog facilitates meiotic reciprocal recombination between homologs in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* but not mismatch repair. Genes Dev 9:1728–1739 (1995).
- Horii A, Han HJ, Sasaki S, Shimada M, Nakamura Y: Cloning characterization and chromosomal assignment of the human genes homologous to yeast PMS1 a member of mismatch repair genes. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 204:1257–1264 (1994).
- Hunter N, Borts RH: Mlh1 is unique among mismatch repair proteins in its ability to promote crossingover during meiosis. Genes Dev 11:1573–1582 (1997).
- Hurst DD, Fogel S, Mortimer RK: Conversion-associated recombination in yeast (hybrids-meiosis-tetrads-marker loci-models). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 69:101–105 (1972).
- Iaccarino I, Palombo F, Drummond J, Totty NF, Hsuan JJ, Modrich P, Jiricny J: MSH6 a Saccharomyces cerevisiae protein that binds to mismatches as a heterodimer with MSH2. Curr Biol 6:484–486 (1996).
- Jean M, Pelletier J, Hilpert M, Belzile F, Kunze R: Isolation and characterization of AtMLH1, a MutL homologue from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Mol Gen Genet 262:633–642 (1999).
- Johnson RE, Kovvali GK, Prakash L, Prakash S: Role of yeast Rth1 nuclease and its homologs in mutation avoidance DNA repair and DNA replication. Curr Genet 34:21–29 (1998).
- Keeney S, Giroux CN, Kleckner N: Meiosis-specific DNA double-strand breaks are catalyzed by Spo11, a member of a widely conserved protein family. Cell 88:375–384 (1997).
- Kelly KO, Dernburg AF, Stanfield GM, Villeneuve AM: *Caenorhabditis elegans* msh-5 is required for both normal and radiation-induced meiotic crossing over but not for completion of meiosis. Genetics 156:617–630 (2000).

- Khazanehdari KA, Borts RH: EXO1 and MSH4 differentially affect crossing-over and segregation. Chromosoma 109:94–102 (2000).
- Kirkpatrick DT, Ferguson JR, Petes TD, Symington LS: Decreased meiotic intergenic recombination and increased meiosis I nondisjunction in *exo1* mutants of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 156:1549–1557 (2000).
- Kleckner N, Storlazzi A, Zickler D: Coordinate variation in meiotic pachytene SC length and total crossover/chiasma frequency under conditions of constant DNA length. Trends Genet 19:623–628 (2003).
- Kneitz B, Cohen PE, Avdievich E, Zhu L, Kane MF, Hou H Jr, Kolodner RD, Kucherlapati R, Pollard JW, Edelmann W: MutS homolog 4 localization to meiotic chromosomes is required for chromosome pairing during meiosis in male and female mice. Genes Dev 14:1085–1097 (2000).
- Koehler KE, Cherry JP, Lynn A, Hunt PA, Hassold TJ: Genetic control of mammalian meiotic recombination. I. Variation in exchange frequencies among males from inbred mouse strains. Genetics 162:297–306 (2002).
- Kolodner RD, Alani E: Mismatch repair and cancer susceptibility. Curr Opin Biotechnol 5:585–594 (1994).
- Lee BI, Wilson DM 3rd: The RAD2 domain of human exonuclease 1 exhibits 5' to 3' exonuclease and flap structure-specific endonuclease activities. J Biol Chem 274:37763–37769 (1999).
- Lee BI, Shannon M, Stubbs L, Wilson DM 3rd: Expression specificity of the mouse exonuclease 1 (mExo1) gene. Nucleic Acids Res 27:4114–4120 (1999).
- Lee Bi BI, Nguyen LH, Barsky D, Fernandes M, Wilson DM 3rd: Molecular interactions of human Exo1 with DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 30:942–949 (2002).
- Leonard JM, Bollmann SR, Hays JB: Reduction of stability of *Arabidopsis* genomic and transgenic DNArepeat sequences (microsatellites) by inactivation of AtMSH2 mismatch-repair function. Plant Physiol 133:328–338 (2003).
- Leung WK, Kim JJ, Wu L, Sepulveda JL, Sepulveda AR: Identification of a second MutL DNA mismatch repair complex (hPMS1 and hMLH1) in human epithelial cells. J Biol Chem 275:15728– 15732 (2000).
- Lin YL, Shivji MK, Chen C, Kolodner R, Wood RD, Dutta A: The evolutionarily conserved zinc finger motif in the largest subunit of human replication protein A is required for DNA replication and mismatch repair but not for nucleotide excision repair. J Biol Chem 273:1453–1461 (1998).
- Lipkin SM, Wang V, Stoler DL, Anderson GR, Kirsch I, Hadley D, Lynch HT, Collins FS: Germline and somatic mutation analyses in the DNA mismatch repair gene MLH3: Evidence for somatic mutation in colorectal cancers. Hum Mutat 17:389–396 (2001).
- Lipkin SM, Moens PB, Wang V, Lenzi M, Shanmugarajah D, Gilgeous A, Thomas J, Cheng J, Touchman JW, Green ED, Schwartzberg P, Collins FS, Cohen PE: Meiotic arrest and aneuploidy in MLH3-deficient mice. Nat Genet 31:385–390 (2002).
- Lucci-Cordisco E, Rovella V, Carrara S, Percesepe A, Pedroni M, Bellacosa A, Caluseriu O, Forasarig M, Anti M, Neri G, Ponz de Leon M, Viel A, Genuardi M: Mutations of the "minor" mismatch repair gene MSH6 in typical and atypical hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. Familial Cancer 1:93–99 (2001).
- Lynch HT: Inherited susceptibility to cancer: clinical predictive and ethical perspectives. (In Process Citation) Gut 44:765B-765 (1999).
- Lynch HT, Smyrk TC: Hereditary non-polyposis colon cancer: a review. Cancer 78:1149–1167 (1996).

- Lynn A, Koehler KE, Judis L, Chan ER, Cherry JP, Schwartz S, Seftel A, Hunt PA, Hassold TJ: Covariation of synaptonemal complex length and mammalian meiotic exchange rates. Science 296:2222– 2225 (2002).
- Marcon E, Moens P: MLH1p and MLH3p localize to precociously induced chiasmata of okadaic-acidtreated mouse spermatocytes. Genetics 165:2283– 2287 (2003).
- Marsischky GT, Lee S, Griffith J, Kolodner RD: Saccharomyces cerevisiae MSH2/6 complex interacts with Holliday junctions and facilitates their cleavage by phage resolution enzymes. J Biol Chem 274:7200–7206 (1999).
- Marti TM, Mansour AA, Lehmann E, Fleck O: Different frameshift mutation spectra in non-repetitive DNA of MutSalpha- and MutLalpha-deficient fission yeast cells. DNA Repair (Amst) 2:571–580 (2003).
- Martin A, Li Z, Lin DP, Bardwell PD, Iglesias-Ussel MD, Edelmann W, Scharff MD: Msh2 ATPase activity is essential for somatic hypermutation at A-T basepairs and for efficient class switch recombination. J Exp Med 198:1171–1178 (2003).
- Martin RH, Green J, Ko E, Barclay L, Rademaker AW: Analysis of aneuploidy frequencies in sperm from patients with hereditary nonpolyposis colon cancer and an hMSH2 mutation. Am J Hum Genet 66:1149–1152 (2000).
- Modrich P, Lahue R: Mismatch repair in replication fidelity genetic recombination and cancer biology. Annu Rev Biochem 65:101–133 (1996).
- Moens PB, Chen DJ, Shen Z, Kolas N, Tarsounas M, Heng HHQ, Spyropoulos B: Rad51 immunocytology in rat and mouse spermatocytes and oocytes. Chromosoma 106:207–215 (1997).
- Moens PB, Kolas NK, Tarsounas M, Marcon E, Cohen PE, Spyropoulos B: The time course and chromosomal localization of recombination-related proteins at meiosis in the mouse are compatible with models that can resolve the early DNA-DNA interactions without reciprocal recombination. J Cell Sci 115:1611–1622 (2002).
- Moreau S, Morgan EA, Symington LS: Overlapping functions of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Mre11 Exo1 and Rad27 nucleases in DNA metabolism. Genetics 159:1423–1433 (2001).
- New L, Liu K, Crouse GF: The yeast gene MSH3 defines a new class of eukaryotic MutS homologues. Mol Gen Genet 239:97–108 (1993).
- Novak JE, Ross-Macdonald PB, Roeder GS: The budding yeast Msh4 protein functions in chromosome synapsis and the regulation of crossover distribution. Genetics 158:1013–1025 (2001).
- Obmolova G, Ban C, Hsieh P, Yang W: Crystal structures of mismatch repair protein MutS and its complex with a substrate. DNA Nature 407:703– 710 (2000).
- Paques F, Haber JE: Multiple pathways of recombination induced by double-strand breaks in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 63:349– 404 (1999).
- Paquis-Flucklinger V, Santucci-Darmanin S, Paul R, Saunieres A, Turc-Carel C, Desnuelle C: Cloning and expression analysis of a meiosis-specific MutS homolog: the human MSH4 gene. Genomics 44: 188–194 (1997).
- Pedroni M, Sala E, Scarselli A, Borghi F, Menigatti M, Benatti P, Percesepe A, Rossi G, Foroni M, Losi L, Di Gregorio C, De Pol A, Nascimbeni R, Di Betta E, Salerni B, de Leon MP, Roncucci L: Microsatellite instability and mismatch-repair protein expression in hereditary and sporadic colorectal carcinogenesis. Cancer Res 61:896–899 (2001).
- Pigozzi MI: Distribution of MLH1 foci on the synaptonemal complexes of chicken oocytes. Cytogenet Cell Genet 95:129–133 (2001).

- Plug AW, Xu J, Reddy G, Golub EI, Ashley T: Presynaptic association of Rad51 protein with selected sites in meiotic chromatin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93:5920–5924 (1996).
- Pochart P, Woltering D, Hollingsworth NM: Conserved properties between functionally distinct MutS homologs in yeast. J Biol Chem 272:30345– 30349 (1997).
- Prolla TA, Pang Q, Alani E, Kolodner RD, Liskay RM: MLH1, PMS1 and MSH2 interactions during the initiation of DNA mismatch repair in yeast. Science 265:1091–1093 (1994).
- Prolla TA, Baker SM, Harris AC, Tsao JL, Yao X, Bronner CE, Zheng B, Gordon M, Reneker J, Arnheim N, Shibata D, Bradley A, Liskay RM: Tumour susceptibility and spontaneous mutation in mice deficient in *Mln1, Pms1* and *Pms2* DNA mismatch repair. Nat Genet 18:276–279 (1998).
- Qin J, Baker S, Te Riele H, Liskay RM, Arnheim N: Evidence for the lack of mismatch-repair directed antirecombination during mouse meiosis. J Hered 93:201–205 (2002).
- Qiu J, Guan MX, Bailis AM, Shen B: Saccharomyces cerevisiae exonuclease-1 plays a role in UV resistance that is distinct from nucleotide excision repair. Nucleic Acids Res 26:3077–3083 (1998).
- Qiu J, Qian Y, Chen V, Guan MX, Shen B: Human exonuclease 1 functionally complements its yeast homologues in DNA recombination RNA primer removal and mutation avoidance. J Biol Chem 274:17893–17900 (1999).
- Ramilo C, Gu L, Guo S, Zhang X, Patrick SM, Turchi JJ, Li GM: Partial reconstitution of human DNA mismatch repair in vitro: characterization of the role of human replication protein A. Mol Cell Biol 22:2037–2046 (2002).
- Reitmair AH, Schmits R, Ewel A, Bapat B, Redston M, Mitri A, Waterhouse P, Mittrucker HW, Wakeham A, Liu B, et al: MSH2 deficient mice are viable and susceptible to lymphoid tumours. Nat Genet 11:64–70 (1995).
- Richardson LL, Pedigo C, Handel MA: Expression of deoxyribonucleic acid repair enzymes during spermatogenesis in mice. Biol Reprod 62:789–796 (2000).
- Ross-Macdonald P, Roeder GS: Mutation of a meiosisspecific MutS homolog decreases crossing over but not mismatch correction. Cell 79:1069–1080 (1994).
- Rudolph C, Fleck O, Kohli J: Schizosaccharomyces pombe exo1 is involved in the same mismatch repair pathway as msh2 and pms1. Curr Genet 34:343–350 (1998).
- Rudolph C, Kunz C, Parisi S, Lehmann E, Hartsuiker E, Fartmann B, Kramer W, Kohli J, Fleck O: The *msh2* gene of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* is involved in mismatch repair mating-type switching and meiotic chromosome organization. Mol Cell Biol 19:241–250 (1999).
- Santucci-Darmanin S, Walpita D, Lespinasse F, Desnuelle C, Ashley T, Paquis-Flucklinger V: MSH4 acts in conjunction with MLH1 during mammalian meiosis. Faseb J 14:1539–1547 (2000).
- Santucci-Darmanin S, Neyton S, Lespinasse F, Saunieres A, Gaudray P, Paquis-Flucklinger V: The DNA mismatch-repair MLH3 protein interacts with MSH4 in meiotic cells supporting a role for this MutL homolog in mammalian meiotic recombination. Hum Mol Genet 11:1697–1706 (2002).

- Schar P, Baur M, Schneider C, Kohli J: Mismatch repair in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* requires the mutL homologous gene *pms1*: molecular cloning and functional analysis. Genetics 146:1275–1286 (1997).
- Schmutte C, Sadoff MM, Shim KS, Acharya S, Fishel R: The interaction of DNA mismatch repair proteins with human exonuclease I. J Biol Chem 276:33011–33018 (2001).
- Schofield MJ, Hsieh P: DNA mismatch repair: molecular mechanisms and biological function. Annu Rev Microbiol 57:579–608 (2003).
- Schwacha A, Kleckner N: Identification of double Holliday junctions as intermediates in meiotic recombination. Cell 83:783–791 (1995).
- Sugawara N, Paques F, Colaiacovo M, Haber JE: Role of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Msh2 and Msh3 repair proteins in double-strand break-induced recombination. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:9214– 9219 (1997).
- Szankasi P, Smith GR: A role for exonuclease I from S. pombe in mutation avoidance and mismatch correction. Science 267:1166–1169 (1995).
- Szostak JW, Orr-Weaver TL, Rothstein RJ, Stahl FW: The double-strand-break repair model for recombination. Cell 33:25–35 (1983).
- Tarsounas M, Morita T, Pearlman RE, Moens PB: RAD51 and DMC1 form mixed complexes associated with mouse meiotic chromosome cores and synaptonemal complexes. J Cell Biol 147:207–220 (1999).
- Tease C: Cytological detection of crossing-over in BUdR substituted meiotic chromosomes using the fluorescent plus Giemsa technique. Nature 272:823-824 (1978).
- Tease C, Hartshorne GM, Hulten MA: Patterns of meiotic recombination in human fetal oocytes. Am J Hum Genet 70:1469–1479 (2002).
- Tijsterman M, Pothof J, Plasterk RH: Frequent germline mutations and somatic repeat instability in DNA mismatch-repair-deficient *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 161:651–660 (2002).
- Tishkoff DX, Boerger AL, Bertrand P, Filosi N, Gaida GM, Kane MF, Kolodner RD: Identification and characterization of Saccharomyces cerevisiae EXO1, a gene encoding an exonuclease that interacts with MSH2. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94: 7487–7492 (1997).
- Tishkoff DX, Amin NS, Viars CS, Arden KC, Kolodner RD: Identification of a human gene encoding a homologue of Saccharomyces cerevisiae EXO1 an exonuclease implicated in mismatch repair and recombination. Cancer Res 58:5027–5031 (1998).
- Tomer G, Buermeyer AB, Nguyen MM, Liskay RM: Contribution of human mlh1 and pms2 ATPase activities to DNA mismatch repair. J Biol Chem 277:21801–21809 (2002).
- Tornier C, Bessone S, Varlet I, Rudolph C, Darmon M, Fleck O: Requirement for Msh6 but not for Swi4 (Msh3) in Msh2-dependent repair of base-base mismatches and mononucleotide loops in *Schizo-saccharomyces pombe*. Genetics 158:65–75 (2001).
- Tran PT, Simon JA, Liskay RM: Interactions of Exo1p with components of MutLalpha in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98:9760–9765 (2001).
- Tsubouchi H, Ogawa H: Exo1 roles for repair of DNA double-strand breaks and meiotic crossing over in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol Biol Cell 11:2221–2233 (2000).

- Tsutakawa SE, Cooper PK: Transcription-coupled repair of oxidative DNA damage in human cells: mechanisms and consequences. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 65:201–215 (2000).
- Wang D, Enders GC: Expression of a specific mouse germ cell nuclear antigen (GCNA1) by early embryonic testicular teratoma cells in 129/Sv-Sl/+ mice. Cancer Lett 100:31–36 (1996).
- Wang TF, Kleckner N, Hunter N: Functional specificity of MutL homologs in yeast: evidence for three Mlh1-based heterocomplexes with distinct roles during meiosis in recombination and mismatch correction. [see comments] Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:13914–13919 (1999).
- Wang TF, Kung WM: Supercomplex formation between Mlh1-Mlh3 and Sgs1-Top3 heterocomplexes in meiotic yeast cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 296:949–953 (2002).
- Wang Y, Qin J: MSH2 and ATR form a signaling module and regulate two branches of the damage response to DNA methylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:15387–15392 (2003).
- Watanabe A, Ikejima M, Suzuki N, Shimada T: Genomic organization and expression of the human MSH3 gene. Genomics 31:311–318 (1996).
- Wei K, Kucherlapati R, Edelmann W: Mouse models for human DNA mismatch-repair gene defects. Trends Mol Med 8:346–353 (2002).
- Wei K, Clark AB, Wong E, Kane MF, Mazur DJ, Parris T, Kolas NK, Russell R, Hou H Jr, Kneitz B, Yang G, Kunkel TA, Kolodner RD, Cohen PE, Edelmann W: Inactivation of Exonuclease 1 in mice results in DNA mismatch repair defects, increased cancer susceptibility and male and female sterility. Genes Dev 17:603–614 (2003).
- Wijnen J, Khan PM, Vasen H, Menko F, van der Klift H, van den Broek M, van Leeuwen-Cornelisse I, Nagengast F, Meijers-Heijboer EJ, Lindhout D, Griffioen G, Cats A, Kleibeuker J, Varesco L, Bertario L, Bisgaard ML, Mohr J, Kolodner R, Fodde R: Majority of hMLH1 mutations responsible for hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer cluster at the exonic region 15–16. Am J Hum Genet 58:300–307 (1996).
- Willis KK, Klein HL: Intrachromosomal recombination in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: reciprocal exchange in an inverted repeat and associated gene conversion. Genetics 117:633–643 (1987).
- Winand NJ, Panzer JA, Kolodner RD: Cloning and characterization of the human and *Caenorhabditis* elegans homologs of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae MSH5 gene. Genomics 53:69–80 (1998).
- Woods LM, Hodges CA, Baart E, Baker SM, Liskay M, Hunt PA: Chromosomal influence on meiotic spindle assembly: abnormal meiosis I in female *Mlh1* mutant mice. J Cell Biol 145:1395–1406 (1999).
- Yamaguchi M, Dao V, Modrich P: MutS and MutL activate DNA helicase II in a mismatch-dependent manner. J Biol Chem 273:9197–9201 (1998).
- Zalevsky J, MacQueen AJ, Duffy JB, Kemphues KJ, Villeneuve AM: Crossing over during *Caenorhabditis elegans* meiosis requires a conserved MutSbased pathway that is partially dispensable in budding yeast. Genetics 153:1271–1283 (1999).
- Zetka MC, Rose AM: Mutant rec-1 eliminates the meiotic pattern of crossing over in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 141:1339–1349 (1995).
- Zhang H, Richards B, Wilson T, Lloyd M, Cranston A, Thorburn A, Fishel R, Meuth M: Apoptosis induced by overexpression of hMSH2 or hMLH1. Cancer Res 59:3021–3027 (1999).